

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ERIC ROONEY, et al.,	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	NO. 06-3480
Plaintiffs,	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

O R D E R

AND NOW, this **22 day of April 2009**, it is hereby
ORDERED that, for the reasons stated in the accompanying
memorandum,

- (1) the City's motion for summary judgment (doc. no. 76) is
GRANTED in part and DENIED in part;
- (2) SEPTA's motion for summary judgment (doc. no. 77) is
GRANTED;
- (3) AMTRAK's motion for summary judgment (doc. no. 79) is
GRANTED;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED as follows:

- (1) AMTRAK's motion in limine and motion for leave to file sur-reply (doc. nos. 78, 91) is **DENIED as moot**;
- (2) AMTRAK's motions for leave to file sur-reply (doc. no. 90) is **GRANTED**;
- (3) the City's motion for leave to file sur-reply (doc. no. 93) is **GRANTED**;

(4) SEPTA's motion for leave to file sur-reply (doc. no. 89) is **GRANTED**.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/Eduardo C. Robreno
EDUARDO C. ROBRENO, J.